

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,527	07/23/2003	Kouetsu Hibino	10517/177 6668		
23838 KENYON & K	7590 01/10/2007 CENYON LLP		EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE		RHEE, JANE J			
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	- ,		1745		
					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/624,527	HIBINO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jane Rhee	1745	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		:					
beione une rinnig	ne Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jane Rhee	1745				
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 Decer</u>	nber 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	xpires 3 months from the mailing date						
no event, however, wi Examiner Note: If box TWO MONTHS OF T	Il the statutory period for reply expire I 1 is checked, check either box (a) or HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. FILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
filing the Notice of Appe a Notice of Appeal has	eal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS		h	will not be outpred b				
		but prior to the date of filing a brief onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
			12 50,047,				
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are r	not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	vercome the following rejection(s						
non-allowable claim(s).		illowable if submitted in a separate,					
how the new or amende	I, the proposed amendment(s): a) ed claims would be rejected is pro (s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,1</u>							
Claim(s) withdrawn fron AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVI	n consideration:						
 The affidavit or other every because applicant failed was not earlier presented. 	vidence filed after a final action, but to provide a showing of good ar ed. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
entered because the af showing a good and su	fidavit or other evidence failed to fficient reasons why it is necessa	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSID	ERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e					
11. The request for recons	sideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	rnce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
		PATRIC'S SUPERMENT	YOUR WIN	ER			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment in claim 1 "the gas passage facing an electrode of the fuel cell" raises new issue that would require further consideration. Due to the proposed amendment not being entered, applicant's argument are not commesurate in scope with the current claims.